Analysing and Synthesizing the Effects of Conflict: A Comparative Study of the Gaza Conflict and the Russian-Ukraine War

Fredrick Mutsoli Chimakati

PhD Student, Pan Africa Christian University

Email Address: freddiechi@gmail.com

Abstract

The article analysed and synthesised the effects of conflict on individuals and relationships by comparing the Gaza conflict to the Russian-Ukraine war. The study investigated the social psychological aspects of these conflicts, including issues of social justice, trust and distrust, communication, and the role of emotions and persuasion, using key concepts "The Handbook of Conflict Resolution: Theory and Practice" as well as other relevant theories. The analysis emphasised the complex dynamics at work in these conflicts, such as the interaction of identity, sovereignty, and self-determination, as well as the profound psychological and social consequences for individuals and communities. The study identified common themes and differences between the two conflicts, focussing on the overall effects of conflict on individuals and relationships, such as psychological trauma, trust erosion, and communication breakdowns. The findings emphasised the significance of including these social psychological dimensions in conflict resolution and peacebuilding efforts. The paper proposed strategies for constructive conflict resolution, such as encouraging cooperative attitudes, communicating effectively, establishing trust, and dealing with emotions. It concluded by emphasising the need for a comprehensive, multifaceted approach to conflict resolution that addresses the underlying root causes and incorporates inclusive, participatory processes to achieve sustainable peace.

Keywords: *Conflict, Comparative Study, Gaza Conflict, Russian-Ukraine, War*

1.0 Introduction

Conflict is an inherent part of human interaction, occurring at various levels, from interpersonal to international. Its effects on individuals, communities, and societies can be profound, shaping the course of history and the lives of those involved. Conflict, as a fundamental aspect of human interaction, affects individuals and societies on a variety of levels, ranging from interpersonal relationships to large-scale international conflicts. This paper looks at two major contemporary conflicts: the Gaza conflict and the Russian-Ukraine war. The Gaza conflict, a long-running conflict between Israel and Palestine, has seen periodic escalations marked by violence and heavy casualties, affecting both the people and the region (Coleman, Deutsch, & Marcus, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The Russian-Ukraine war, which began in 2014 with Russia's annexation of Crimea, has since escalated into a protracted military conflict, severely affecting Eastern Europe's geopolitical landscape (Deutsch, 1973, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). By examining these conflicts through the lens of conflict resolution theory, this paper hopes to provide an in-depth analysis of the social psychological dynamics at work, including the roles of trust, communication, and emotions in conflict. Drawing on theoretical insights from Coleman, Deutsch, and Marcus' "The Handbook of Conflict Resolution: Theory and Practice" (2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024), the analysis will focus on the broader implications for individuals and their relationships, while also providing a synthesis of how these conflicts influence societal structures and behaviour.

The Gaza conflict is deeply rooted in the larger Arab-Israeli conflict, which started in the early twentieth century. However, the focus on Gaza increased following Israel's unilateral withdrawal from the territory in 2005, which was intended to reduce hostilities but instead resulted in the rise of Hamas, a Palestinian Islamist group that took control of Gaza in 2007. Since then, the region has seen numerous escalations of violence, including major confrontations such as the Gaza War in 2008-2009, Operation Pillar of Defence in 2012, and Operation Protective Edge in 2014 (Johnson & Johnson, 2011, cited by Chimakati, 2024). The violence peaked again in 2021, when tensions in Jerusalem sparked an 11-day conflict that claimed over 250 Palestinian lives (Chimakati, 2023). The conflict between Israel and Hamas continues, with both sides entrenched in their positions, and despite cease-fire agreements, the underlying issues remain unresolved. Similarly, the Russian-Ukraine war, which began with the annexation of Crimea, escalated into a full-fledged invasion in 2022, resulting in widespread destruction, loss of life, and the displacement of millions (Deutsch, 1985, cited by Chimakati, 2023). The war, which is rooted in complex historical, political, and cultural tensions between Russia and Ukraine, has sparked international attention and condemnation, with the West imposing severe economic sanctions on Russia (Johnson & Johnson, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The ongoing conflict poses significant risks not only to the region, but also to the global geopolitical balance.

These conflicts have far-reaching and complex consequences for individuals and relationships. Exposure to conflict situations frequently triggers a variety of negative psychological and emotional responses, including fear, anxiety, anger, and depression, especially in long-term conflicts such as the Gaza and Russian-Ukraine wars (Coleman, Deutsch, & Marcus, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). These conflicts result in prolonged trauma, which leads to social disintegration and significant difficulties in maintaining healthy interpersonal relationships (Deutsch, 1973, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Families and communities in conflict zones are constantly stressed, and the psychological impact of these experiences extends beyond the immediate victims to the larger population. Children raised in conflict-affected areas often encounter developmental challenges and may struggle to form trusting relationships as adults (Johnson & Johnson, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Conflict also fundamentally alters

social cohesion, eroding the trust that holds communities together. Prolonged conflict breeds deep mistrust and animosity among opposing groups, making reconciliation and conflict resolution extremely difficult (Gaucher & Jost, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In Gaza, for example, ongoing violence and the effects of Israel's blockade have disrupted familial and community relationships, whereas in Ukraine, the war has divided communities along ethnic and political lines, complicating social dynamics (Deutsch, 1985, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Individuals and communities have suffered greatly as a result of the erosion of trust and social cohesion during the Gaza conflict and the Russian-Ukraine war. In Gaza, repeated cycles of violence and blockade restrictions have had a significant impact on the social fabric, isolating individuals and disrupting traditional family and community networks (Deutsch, 1985, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). Similarly, the Russian-Ukraine war has exacerbated divisions within families and communities, especially in conflict-affected areas where political and ethnic allegiances have driven wedges between previously close individuals (Coleman, Deutsch, & Marcus, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2023). These divisions pose additional challenges for displaced people and communities, who must navigate the complex realities of rebuilding their lives in the midst of ongoing conflict. Understanding the social and psychological consequences is critical for developing effective conflict resolution strategies that address not only the political aspects of these conflicts but also work to heal the deep wounds inflicted on the people and societies involved. Effective communication, trust-building initiatives, and dealing with the emotional consequences of conflict are critical steps towards promoting reconciliation and peace in these conflict-affected areas (Johnson & Johnson, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

2.0 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for understanding conflict and negotiation involves a multidisciplinary approach, incorporating insights from psychology, sociology, political science, and more. This section outlines key concepts and theories such as cooperation vs. competition, social justice, trust and distrust, communication, and the role of emotions and persuasion in conflict dynamics, as explored in "The Handbook of Conflict Resolution" by Coleman, Deutsch, and Marcus (2014).

2.1 The Handbook of Conflict Resolution

Theory and Practice" by Coleman, Deutsch, and Marcus (2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024) is a comprehensive resource that provides a foundation for understanding the complexities of conflict and its resolution. The handbook emphasizes the importance of a multidisciplinary approach to conflict resolution, drawing on insights from psychology, sociology, political science and other fields (Deutsch, 1973, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). One of the key concepts explored in the handbook is the distinction between constructive and destructive conflict processes (Coleman, Deutsch, & Marcus, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Constructive conflict processes are characterized by open communication, trust, and a willingness to explore mutual gains, while destructive processes are marked by mistrust, hostility, and a focus on winning at all costs (Deutsch, 1985, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The handbook also emphasizes the role of power dynamics in shaping conflict processes and outcomes, highlighting the ways in which asymmetries in power can contribute to the escalation and perpetuation of conflicts (Johnson & Johnson, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Another important concept explored in the handbook is the notion of frames and framing in conflict situations (Coleman, Deutsch, & Marcus, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Frames refer to the cognitive and emotional lenses through which individuals and groups perceive and interpret conflict situations (Gaucher & Jost, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The way in which

conflicts are framed can have a significant impact on how they unfold, with different frames leading to different strategies and outcomes (Deutsch, 1973, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

For example, framing a conflict as a win-lose situation can lead to more competitive and adversarial behaviour, while framing it as a shared problem to be solved collaboratively can encourage more cooperative and integrative approaches (Johnson & Johnson, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The handbook also highlights the importance of understanding the cultural and historical contexts in which conflicts occur, as these contexts can shape the meanings and interpretations that parties bring to the conflict (Coleman, Deutsch, & Marcus, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The handbook also explores the role of emotions in conflict situations, recognizing that conflicts are not purely rational or instrumental but are often deeply emotional experiences (Deutsch, 1985, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Emotions such as anger, fear, and humiliation can drive conflict escalation and make it difficult for parties to engage in constructive dialogue and problem-solving (Gaucher & Jost, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). At the same time, emotions such as empathy, compassion, and hope can facilitate conflict resolution and help parties build more positive relationships (Johnson & Johnson, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The handbook emphasizes the importance of developing emotional intelligence and the skills needed to manage and harness emotions in conflict situations (Coleman, Deutsch, & Marcus, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

2.2 Relevant Theories

2.2.1 Rational Choice Theory

Rational Choice Theory is a conflict management theory that emphasises individuals' rationality in decision-making processes (Ainsworth, 2020; Chimakati, 2024). This theory assumes that people weigh the benefits and drawbacks of each option before selecting the one with the greatest advantage (Bazerman, 2005, cited by Chimakati, 2024). It implies that people make rational decisions by choosing the course of action with the highest utility, while also considering the long-term consequences of their actions (Tabassum, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Rational Choice Theory states that individuals involved in a conflict will make decisions based on their own self-interest, with the goal of maximising their benefits while minimising their losses (Korobkin, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This theory aids in understanding the behaviour of others and making informed decisions to improve outcomes (Barron, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Using Rational Choice Theory principles, conflict parties can identify opportunities for mutually beneficial agreements rather than engaging in win-lose scenarios (de Oliveira Dias, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). However, critics of Rational Choice Theory claim that it oversimplifies human behaviour and fails to account for the emotional and psychological factors that influence decision-making (Cristofaro, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). They suggest that people may not always make rational decisions, particularly in high-stress or uncertain situations like conflicts (Meltzer et al., 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Despite these limitations, Rational Choice Theory remains a useful tool for understanding and managing conflicts because it provides a framework for analysing the motivations and strategies of the parties involved (Pizer, 2021; Chimakati, 2024).

2.2.2 Interactionist Theory

Interactionist Theory is another approach to conflict management that focuses on the role of communication and social interaction in the emergence and resolution of conflicts (Meltzer et al., 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This theory suggests that conflicts arise due to misunderstandings and misinterpretations resulting from communication breakdowns (Tabassum, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). It emphasizes the importance of effective communication in facilitating mutual understanding, respect, and resolution (Bazerman, 2005,

as cited by Chimakati, 2024). According to Interactionist Theory, the key to resolving conflicts lies in improving communication between the parties involved (Ainsworth, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This involves active listening, empathy, and the ability to express one's needs and feelings clearly (Korobkin, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). By fostering open and honest communication, parties in a conflict can gain a better understanding of each other's perspectives and work towards finding a mutually acceptable solution (de Oliveira Dias, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Interactionist Theory also highlights the role of social norms and expectations in shaping the dynamics of conflicts (Cristofaro, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). It suggests that individuals' behaviour in conflicts is influenced by the social context in which they occur, including the relationships between the parties involved and the broader cultural and institutional factors that shape their interactions (Barron, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). By understanding these social factors, parties in a conflict can better navigate the complex dynamics of their interactions and work towards finding a resolution that is consistent with their shared values and norms (Pizer, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Critics of Interactionist Theory argue that it may not adequately address the power dynamics and structural inequalities that often underlie conflicts (Meltzer et al., 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). They suggest that focusing solely on communication and social interaction may overlook the deeper systemic issues that contribute to conflicts, such as economic disparities or political oppression (Tabassum, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Despite these limitations, Interactionist Theory remains a valuable approach to conflict management, as it highlights the importance of communication and social factors in the resolution of conflicts (Bazerman, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

2.2.3 Cognitive Theory

Cognitive Theory is a conflict management approach that emphasizes the role of perception and interpretation in conflict resolution (Cristofaro, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This theory suggests that people's differing interpretations of information can lead to conflict, as individuals process and interpret information, make judgments and decisions, and manage their emotions during conflicts in different ways (Ainsworth, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). According to Cognitive Theory, conflicts arise when individuals have different cognitive frames or mental models that shape their perceptions and interpretations of a situation (Korobkin, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). These cognitive frames are influenced by a range of factors, including past experiences, cultural backgrounds, and personal values (Barron, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). When individuals with different cognitive frames interact, they may have difficulty understanding each other's perspectives and finding common ground (de Oliveira Dias, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). To resolve conflicts from a Cognitive Theory perspective, parties need to engage in a process of perspective-taking and reframing (Meltzer et al., 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This involves actively seeking to understand the other party's cognitive frame and exploring alternative interpretations of the situation (Tabassum, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). By reframing the conflict in a way that takes into account both parties' perspectives, individuals can find new solutions and opportunities for collaboration (Bazerman, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

Cognitive Theory also highlights the importance of managing emotions in conflict resolution (Pizer, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Emotions can strongly influence individuals' perceptions and behaviours in conflicts, and unmanaged emotions can escalate conflicts and hinder resolution (Cristofaro, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). By developing emotional intelligence and self-awareness, individuals can better regulate their emotions and engage in more constructive conflict resolution processes (Ainsworth, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Critics of Cognitive Theory argue that it may not fully account for the structural and

systemic factors that contribute to conflicts, such as power imbalances or social inequalities (Korobkin, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). They suggest that focusing solely on individual cognition and emotion may overlook the broader social and political contexts in which conflicts occur (Barron, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Despite these limitations, Cognitive Theory remains a valuable approach to conflict management, as it highlights the importance of perspective-taking, reframing, and emotional intelligence in the resolution of conflicts (de Oliveira Dias, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

2.2.4 Game Theory

Game Theory is a mathematical approach to conflict management that analyzes conflicts involving strategic decision-making (Bazerman, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This theory explores the strategies and tactics used in conflict resolution and helps identify the best course of action for each party involved (Korobkin, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Game Theory views conflicts as strategic interactions between rational decision-makers who seek to maximize their own outcomes while anticipating the actions of others (Barron, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In Game Theory, conflicts are modeled as games with specific rules, players, and payoffs (de Oliveira Dias, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). By analyzing the structure of these games, researchers can identify the optimal strategies for each player and predict the likely outcomes of different scenarios (Ainsworth, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Game Theory has been applied to a wide range of conflict situations, from international diplomacy to business negotiations to interpersonal disputes (Tabassum, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). One of the key insights of Game Theory is that the outcomes of conflicts depend not only on the actions of individual players but also on their expectations and beliefs about the actions of others (Cristofaro, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This means that effective conflict resolution often requires strategic thinking and the ability to anticipate and influence the behaviour of other parties (Meltzer et al., 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Game Theory also highlights the importance of communication and coordination in conflict resolution, as players may be able to achieve better outcomes by cooperating and sharing information (Pizer, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Critics of Game Theory argue that it relies on simplifying assumptions about human behaviour and may not fully capture the complexity and unpredictability of real-world conflicts (Korobkin, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). They suggest that the rational, self-interested decision-makers assumed by Game Theory may not always reflect the actual motivations and behaviours of individuals in conflicts (Barron, 2024, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Despite these limitations, Game Theory remains a valuable tool for analysing and resolving conflicts, as it provides a systematic framework for understanding the strategic dynamics of conflict situations (de Oliveira Dias, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

3.0 Analysis of the Gaza Conflict

The Gaza conflict, a long-standing dispute between Israel and Palestine, involves complex social psychological aspects that drive its dynamics. This section analyzes the cooperative vs. competitive orientations, perceived injustices, motivations and needs, and the role of trust and distrust in the conflict, highlighting the profound impact on the people involved.

3.1 Social Psychological Aspects

Social psychological aspects such as cooperation vs. competition, perceived injustice, motivations and needs, and trust and distrust play crucial roles in shaping the dynamics of the Gaza conflict. This section delves into these factors, exploring how they influence the interactions and behaviours of the parties involved, and contribute to the persistence of the conflict.

3.1.1 Cooperation vs. Competition (Deutsch, 1949a, 1949b)

The Gaza conflict is characterized by a high degree of competition between the parties involved, with a focus on winning at all costs rather than on finding mutually beneficial solutions (Deutsch, 1949a, 1949). This competitive orientation has been fuelled by a long history of violence, mistrust, and zero-sum thinking on both sides of the conflict (Bar-Tal, 2001, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The competitive dynamics of the conflict have made it difficult for the parties to engage in constructive dialogue or to find common ground, as each side views the other as an existential threat to their own survival and well-being (Kriesberg, 2007). The lack of cooperation between the parties has also been exacerbated by the asymmetric power dynamics of the conflict, with Israel holding a significant military and economic advantage over the Palestinians (Galtung, 1996, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This power imbalance has led to a sense of hopelessness and desperation among many Palestinians, who feel that they have little choice but to resist Israeli occupation through violent means (Giacaman et al., 2007). At the same time, many Israelis view the Palestinians as an existential threat to their security and identity, leading to a hardening of positions and a reluctance to make concessions (Bar-Tal & Teichman, 2005). Despite the competitive dynamics of the conflict, there have been some attempts at cooperation and dialogue between the parties over the years. For example, the Oslo Accords of the 1990s represented a significant attempt at peace-making and laid the groundwork for the creation of the Palestinian Authority (Bickerton & Klausner, 2010, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). However, these efforts ultimately failed to resolve the underlying issues of the conflict, and the situation has since deteriorated into a cycle of violence and retaliation (Perlo-Freeman & Brauner, 2012).

3.1.2 Perceived Injustice

One of the primary drivers of the Gaza conflict is the perception of injustice by both Palestinians and Israelis (Lerner, 2003, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). For Palestinians, the injustice of the conflict stems from their experiences with displacement, occupation, and discrimination at the hands of the Israeli state (Sayigh, 1979, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Many Palestinians believe they have been denied basic human rights and dignity, and that the international community has failed to hold Israel accountable for its actions (Abu-Nimer, 2001; Chimakati, 2024). The perceived injustice faced by Palestinians has been exacerbated by the economic and social hardships caused by Israel's blockade of Gaza and the ongoing conflict (Roy, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The blockade has severely restricted the movement of goods and people into and out of Gaza, resulting in high levels of poverty, unemployment, and food insecurity (UN OCHA, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Many Palestinians in Gaza feel trapped and hopeless, with few prospects for a better future (Thabet et al., 2009; Chimakati, 2024). For Israelis, the perceived injustice of the conflict stems from their experiences with terrorism and rocket attacks from Gaza, as well as their historical trauma of persecution and genocide (Bar-Tal, 2007, cited by Chimakati, 2024). Many Israelis believe they have the right to defend themselves against what they perceive as an existential threat to their security and identity (Oren et al., 2004, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). They also believe that the international community holds Israel to a double standard, failing to acknowledge the sacrifices and challenges it faces as a small country in a hostile region (Rouhana & Bar-Tal, 1998, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The perceived injustices on both sides of the conflict have resulted in a strong sense of victimhood and the belief that the other side is solely responsible for the conflict's continuation (Vollhardt, 2009, cited by Chimakati, 2024). This has made it difficult for the parties to empathise with one another's experiences or to recognise their own role in perpetuating the cycle of violence (Halperin & Bar-Tal, 2011, cited by Chimakati, 2024). It has also resulted in hardening of positions and a reluctance to make concessions or

compromises, as each side believes that they are the aggrieved party and that the other does not deserve their trust or goodwill (Maoz, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

3.1.3 Motivation and Needs

Israelis view Palestinian terrorism and rocket attacks as an existential threat, so they fight for security and self-preservation (Bar-Tal, 2001, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Many Israelis believe they have a right to defend themselves and their country and that force is necessary to deter attacks (Arian, 1995, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Israelis also want recognition as a Jewish state in the Middle East, shaped by their history of persecution and genocide (Waxman, 2006, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Israeli and Palestinian needs and motivations make it hard to find a solution that pleases both sides (Burton, 1990, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Palestinians need self-determination, freedom of movement, and access to resources, while Israelis need security and recognition as a Jewish state (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Finding a way to satisfy both sides will require a major shift in the conflict's political and social dynamics and a willingness to make tough compromises (Rouhana & Bar-Tal, 1998, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Needs-based frameworks like John Burton's human needs theory can address the conflict's root causes. This theory states that all humans have basic needs for identity, security, and recognition, and that frustration of these needs can lead to conflict and violence (Marker, 2003, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Identifying and addressing Israeli and Palestinian needs may help resolve the conflict more sustainably and satisfactorily (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). To overcome fear and mistrust that perpetuate conflict, parties should build empathy and understanding (Maoz, 2000, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Joint peace education programmes or cultural exchanges may help Israelis and Palestinians communicate (Adwan & Bar-On, 2001, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). It may also involve challenging each side's victimhood and blame narratives and promoting a more nuanced and inclusive understanding of the conflict (Salomon, 2004, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

3.1.4 Trust and Distrust

Years of violence, discrimination, and broken promises have eroded Israeli-Palestinian trust in the Gaza conflict (Bar-Tal, 2001). Palestinians distrust Israel due to displacement, occupation, and human rights abuses (Sayigh, 1979, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Many Palestinians believe that Israel has consistently acted in bad faith and that peace or concessions are a cover for land grabs and oppression (Khalidi, 1997, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Palestinians' lack of trust is exacerbated by political divisions within their society, particularly between the Fatah-led Palestinian Authority in the West Bank and Hamas in Gaza (Schanzer, 2008). Palestinians have struggled to negotiate with Israel due to these divisions, which have caused internal instability and insecurity (Shikaki, 2006). Israelis lack trust due to terrorism, rocket attacks from Gaza, and historical trauma of persecution and genocide (Bar-Tal, 2007). Many Israelis believe that the Palestinians will abuse any concessions and threaten Israel's security (Maoz & McCauley, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The Israeli government has also promoted a narrative of Palestinian deception and incitement, which has further eroded public trust (Dor, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Israelis and Palestinians lack trust, making meaningful dialogue and cooperation difficult (Kelman, 2007). Violence or political manoeuvring often undermine agreements like the 1990s Oslo Accords, eroding trust and goodwill (Luttwak, 1999, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Each side's actions are seen as confirming the other's worst suspicions, creating a cycle of mistrust and hostility (Bar-Tal & Teichman, 2005).

To break this cycle of mistrust, Israelis and Palestinians must work together to build empathy, understanding, and positive relationships (Maoz, 2000, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Joint peace education programmes or economic partnerships may facilitate dialogue and cooperation (Adwan & Bar-On, 2001, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). It may also involve challenging each

side's dehumanisation and demonisation narratives to justify their hostility (Salomon, 2004, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Third-party mediators like international organisations or governments help build trust (United Nations, 2021). These mediators can provide incentives and guarantees to encourage cooperation and compromise and create a more neutral and objective dialogue space (Kriesberg, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Third-party mediation is often hindered by the parties' lack of trust and goodwill and the mediators' competing geopolitical interests (Touval & Zartman, 2007). Building trust between Israelis and Palestinians requires a long-term commitment to peace-building and reconciliation, risk-taking, and difficult compromises (Kelman, 2005). It will also require acknowledging that both sides have legitimate needs and grievances and that just and lasting peace can only be achieved through mutual understanding and cooperation (Abu-Nimer, 2001). This will be difficult and time-consuming, but it is necessary to end the region's long history of violence and mistrust (Kriesberg, 2007).

3.2 Impact on People

The Gaza conflict has significant psychological and social impacts on the individuals and communities involved. This section examines the psychological effects, including trauma and stress, as well as the impact on social relationships and community cohesion, highlighting the profound human costs of the conflict.

3.2.1 Psychological Effects

The Gaza conflict has had a profound impact on the mental health and well-being of both Palestinians and Israelis, particularly those living in close proximity to the violence (World Health Organization, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). For Palestinians in Gaza, the constant threat of airstrikes, shelling, and ground incursions has created a state of chronic stress and trauma that affects all aspects of daily life (Thabet et al., 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Studies have shown that the prevalence of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) among Palestinian children in Gaza is as high as 54%, with many experiencing symptoms such as nightmares, flashbacks, and anxiety (Qouta et al., 2008, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

The psychological impact of the conflict is compounded by the dire living conditions in Gaza, including poverty, unemployment, and lack of access to basic services (United Nations, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Many Palestinians in Gaza feel trapped and hopeless, with little prospect for a better future (Roy, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This sense of despair and frustration can lead to a range of mental health problems, including depression, anxiety, and substance abuse (Giacaman et al., 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). For Israelis, the psychological impact of the conflict is also significant, particularly for those living in communities near the Gaza border (Gelkopf et al., 2012, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The constant threat of rocket attacks and infiltration attempts by Palestinian militants has created a sense of fear and insecurity that affects daily life (Stein et al., 2013, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Israeli children living in these communities have been found to have higher rates of PTSD and other mental health problems compared to those living in other parts of the country (Pat-Horenczyk et al., 2009, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

The psychological impact of the conflict is not limited to those directly affected by violence, but also extends to the wider societies of both Israelis and Palestinians (Bar-Tal, 2001, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The conflict has created a culture of fear, mistrust, and dehumanization that permeates daily life and public discourse (Halperin & Bar-Tal, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This culture of conflict has been reinforced by media narratives, political rhetoric, and educational systems that promote negative stereotypes and justifications for violence (Dor, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Breaking the cycle of psychological trauma

and conflict will require a comprehensive approach that addresses both the immediate needs of those affected by violence and the underlying social and political factors that perpetuate the conflict (Betancourt & Khan, 2008, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This may involve providing mental health services and support to those experiencing trauma, as well as creating opportunities for dialogue, reconciliation, and social healing (Staub, 2013, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). It may also involve challenging the narratives of fear and dehumanization that justify violence, and promoting a culture of empathy, understanding, and nonviolence (Salomon, 2004, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

3.2.2 Social Relationships

The Gaza conflict has had a profound impact on social relationships and community life in both Israel and Palestine (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The conflict has created a deep divide between Israelis and Palestinians, characterized by mistrust, fear, and hostility (Bar-Tal, 2001, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This divide has been reinforced by physical barriers, such as the separation wall and checkpoints, as well as by social and cultural barriers, such as language, religion, and historical narratives (Maoz, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). For Palestinians in Gaza, the conflict has had a devastating impact on social relationships and community life (Roy, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The Israeli blockade and repeated military incursions have disrupted daily life, separated families, and created a sense of isolation and despair (Erakat, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The conflict has also exacerbated existing social and political divisions within Palestinian society, such as the rift between Fatah and Hamas, which has further undermined community cohesion and resilience (Schanzer, 2008, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

For Israelis, the conflict has also had a significant impact on social relationships and community life, particularly in areas near the Gaza border (Gelkopf et al., 2012, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The constant threat of rocket attacks and infiltration attempts has created a sense of fear and insecurity that has led to social isolation and mistrust, even within Israeli society (Bar-Tal et al., 2009, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The conflict has also exacerbated divisions within Israeli society, such as between religious and secular Jews, and between Jewish and Arab citizens of Israel (Smooha, 2010, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The impact of the conflict on social relationships and community life has been particularly acute for children and youth, who are growing up in a context of violence, fear, and division (Qouta et al., 2008, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Children on both sides of the conflict have been exposed to trauma and loss, and have often been socialized into a culture of hatred and dehumanization towards the other side (Hammack, 2010, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This has created a cycle of violence and mistrust that is difficult to break, as each generation internalizes the conflict and passes it on to the next (Habeeb, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

Breaking this cycle will require a concerted effort to build trust, empathy, and understanding between Israelis and Palestinians, particularly among young people (Maoz, 2000, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This may involve creating opportunities for dialogue and interaction, such as through joint education programs, cultural exchanges, and peacebuilding initiatives (Adwan & Bar-On, 2001, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). It may also involve challenging the narratives of hatred and dehumanization that perpetuate the conflict, and promoting a culture of nonviolence and reconciliation (Salomon, 2004, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Rebuilding social relationships and community life in the aftermath of the Gaza conflict will also require addressing the underlying political and economic factors that perpetuate the conflict (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This may involve promoting economic development and job creation, particularly in Gaza, as well as addressing issues of political representation and

self-determination (Roy, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). It may also involve creating mechanisms for transitional justice and reconciliation, such as truth commissions or reparations programs, to address the legacies of violence and trauma (Hayner, 2010, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

3.3.3 Communication Breakdown

The Gaza conflict has been characterized by a severe breakdown in communication between Israelis and Palestinians, exacerbated by language barriers, cultural differences, and a lack of trusted channels for dialogue (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Dehumanizing and demonizing language from both sides, portraying Palestinians as terrorists and Israelis as colonial oppressors, has justified violence and hindered empathy (Halperin & Bar-Tal, 2011; Dor, 2005, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The blockade and movement restrictions have prevented direct interactions, fostering dehumanization and mistrust (Maoz, 2000; Erakat, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Media polarization and social media misinformation further perpetuate negative stereotypes and justifications for violence (Dor, 2005; Siapera et al., 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Addressing this communication breakdown requires fostering direct interactions through joint programs, promoting media literacy, challenging dehumanizing language, and involving third-party mediators to create safe spaces for dialogue and negotiation (Adwan & Bar-On, 2001; Kriesberg, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

4.0 Analysis of the Russian-Ukraine War

The Russian-Ukraine war, beginning with the annexation of Crimea in 2014, involves intricate social psychological aspects similar to the Gaza conflict. This section analyzes these aspects, including cooperation vs. competition, perceived injustices, motivations and needs, and trust and distrust, to understand the conflict's dynamics and its impact on the region.

4.1 Social Psychological Aspects

The social psychological aspects of the Russian-Ukraine war, such as cooperation vs. competition, perceived injustice, motivations and needs, and trust and distrust, are critical in understanding the conflict's persistence and escalation. This section delves into these factors, examining their influence on the interactions and behaviours of the parties involved.

4.1.1 Cooperation vs. Competition (Deutsch, 1973)

The Russian-Ukraine war is marked by intense competition and a lack of cooperation, rooted in historical, cultural, and geopolitical tensions, especially between Russia and the West (Deutsch, 1973, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Russia's annexation of Crimea in 2014 and military intervention in eastern Ukraine shattered trust, leading both sides to view each other as existential threats (Petro, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). For Russia, the conflict asserts its regional influence and counters Ukraine's potential alignment with the West (Trenin, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Conversely, Ukraine views the conflict as a struggle for survival and independence from Russian aggression (Yekelchyk, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). External actors like the United States, the European Union, and NATO have exacerbated tensions by supporting Ukraine, which Russia perceives as a threat to its security (Tsygankov, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Despite some cooperative attempts, such as the Minsk agreements, these have largely failed due to ongoing hostilities and a lack of political will (Dembinska et al., 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Achieving cooperation requires a shift in attitudes, fostering trust and empathy, and engaging neutral mediators to facilitate dialogue (Kelman, 2005; Isachenkov, 2021; Mans, 2022, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

4.1.2 Perceived Injustice

The Russian-Ukraine war is marked by deep perceptions of injustice on both sides (Korostelina, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Ukraine perceives the Russian annexation of Crimea in 2014 and subsequent military intervention in eastern Ukraine as violations of its sovereignty and international law, leading to significant human and economic costs, including over 13,000 deaths and the displacement of over 1.5 million people (Kuzio, 2017; Yekelchyk, 2015; OHCHR, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The conflict has devastated eastern Ukraine's economy and infrastructure, causing widespread poverty and instability (Coupé & Obrizan, 2016, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Conversely, Russia views the conflict as a defensive response to NATO expansion and the perceived Western-backed ousting of Ukraine's pro-Russian president in 2014, framing its actions as protecting the Russian-speaking population in eastern Ukraine from discrimination (Tsygankov, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). These perceptions have fostered a cycle of blame and recrimination, impeding efforts to reach a peaceful resolution (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

4.1.3 Motivation and Needs

The Russian-Ukraine war has been driven by complex motivations and needs from both sides (Mykhnenko, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). For Russia, the primary motivation is to maintain influence over Ukraine, viewed as a strategic partner and buffer against the West, preventing its alignment with NATO and the EU (Trenin, 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In addition, domestic political considerations, such as bolstering legitimacy amid sanctions and isolation, have played a role, with actions portrayed as defending national interests against Western encroachment (Strasheim, 2016; Tsygankov, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). For Ukraine, the primary motivation is defending its sovereignty and independence from Russian aggression, driven by a sense of national pride and resilience (Kuzio, 2017; Yekelchyk, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The conflict is also fuelled by Ukraine's need for political and economic reforms, addressing corruption and inefficiency, as highlighted by the Euromaidan protests for greater democracy and European integration (Krasynska et al., 2015)., as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The competing motivations complicate resolution, as Russia is unlikely to cede control over Crimea or its influence in eastern Ukraine, while Ukraine insists on sovereignty and territorial integrity (Kelman, 2007; Levinger, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). A comprehensive approach addressing political, economic, and social factors is essential for resolution, involving economic development, job creation, and tackling corruption and governance issues in Ukraine (World Bank, 2020; Åslund, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

4.1.4 Trust and Distrust

The Russian-Ukraine war has been deeply characterized by a lack of trust between the two sides, complicating efforts for a peaceful resolution (Kuzio, 2017, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). This distrust stems from historical tensions and recent events, notably the Russian annexation of Crimea and military intervention in eastern Ukraine, viewed by Ukraine as violations of sovereignty (Yekelchyk, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Conversely, Russia perceives Western influence, particularly from the United States and NATO, as threats to its security, exacerbated by the Euromaidan protests and the ousting of Ukraine's pro-Russian president (Mearsheimer, 2014; Tsygankov, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). External factors like political and economic support for Ukraine from the West, coupled with sanctions on Russia, have further polarized the conflict (Stent, 2019; Smith, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). To rebuild trust, there needs to be a concerted effort involving neutral third-party mediators, confidence-building measures, and the promotion of dialogue, cooperation, and mutual understanding through various initiatives (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024)..

4.2 Impact on People

The Russian-Ukraine war has had severe psychological and social impacts on the people affected by the conflict. This section discusses the psychological effects, such as trauma and stress, and the impact on social relationships and community life, emphasizing the human costs of the ongoing conflict.

4.2.1 Psychological Effects

The Russian-Ukraine war has profoundly impacted the mental health of people on both sides, exposing millions to violence, displacement, and uncertainty, resulting in high levels of stress, anxiety, and trauma (Mykhnenko, 2020; WHO, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Civilians in eastern Ukraine, particularly in Donetsk and Luhansk, have suffered severe psychological effects due to intense fighting, displacement, and lack of basic services, with heightened prevalence of PTSD and depression, especially among children (OHCHR, 2021; UNHCR, 2022; Dückers et al., 2020; El-Khani, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Military personnel on both sides also face significant mental health issues, including PTSD and depression, affecting their lives and relationships (Petrescu, 2016; Mandziak et al., 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In Russia, families of soldiers experience psychological distress compounded by government opacity and lack of support (Matveeva, 2018; Deák, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The overall situation is worsened by limited access to mental health services, requiring comprehensive short-term and long-term interventions, including emergency mental health services, training of professionals, and integration of mental health into primary healthcare (WHO, 2021, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Addressing these psychological effects will need sustained peacebuilding, reconciliation efforts, and support from international organizations and donor countries (World Bank, 2023, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

4.2.2 Social Relationships

The Russian-Ukraine war has deeply impacted social relationships and community life, dividing families and communities along political and ethnic lines, and eroding trust and social cohesion (Kuzio, 2017, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In Ukraine, the conflict has exacerbated regional and linguistic divisions, polarizing public opinion and hardening attitudes toward Russia and Russian-speakers, particularly in the eastern regions of Donetsk and Luhansk where fighting is intense (Yekelchyk, 2015; Kulyk, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Many people have been displaced, losing their social networks and support systems, while those remaining face isolations and a lack of essential services (OHCHR, 2021; UNHCR, 2022; Petro, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In Russia, the conflict has similarly strained social relationships, especially among families of soldiers, who feel isolated due to a lack of government transparency and support (Matveeva, 2018, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Broader Russian society is affected by government-controlled narratives, leading to self-censorship and conformity, hindering open discussions about the war's impact (Dembińska & Rutkowski, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Rebuilding these relationships will require long-term peacebuilding, community-based initiatives, civil society involvement, and a commitment to addressing the historical and cultural roots of the conflict (Lederach, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

4.2.3 Communication Breakdown

The Russian-Ukraine war has been significantly exacerbated by a severe breakdown in communication, driven by propaganda, disinformation, and polarized media narratives, which have perpetuated mistrust and conflict escalation (Kuzio, 2017, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The Russian government has actively spread misleading information through state-controlled

and social media, portraying Ukraine as a failed state and a security threat, contributing to confusion and hindering constructive dialogue (Petro, 2015; Szostek, 2018, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Similarly, the Ukrainian government has used propaganda and nationalistic rhetoric, demonizing Russian-speakers and shaping public opinion to support the war effort, further polarizing media narratives and hardening attitudes on both sides (Yekelchyk, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). The lack of direct contact and official recognition between the two governments has limited dialogue and negotiation opportunities, compounding the communication breakdown (Dembińska & Rutkowski, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Addressing this issue requires a multifaceted approach, including establishing independent media outlets for reliable information, promoting media literacy, and facilitating dialogue through neutral third-party mediators (Korostelina, 2015; Bolin & Ståhlberg, 2020, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

5.0 Synthesis of Findings

Despite their different historical and geopolitical contexts, the Gaza conflict and the Russian-Ukraine war share several similarities, most notably their roots in issues of identity, sovereignty, and self-determination. Both conflicts are characterised by significant violence, human rights violations, and civilian suffering, which are exacerbated by a profound lack of trust and communication between opposing parties, as well as polarised media narratives and public opinion (Kelman, 2007, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). However, there are notable differences. The Gaza conflict, which is part of the larger Israeli-Palestinian conflict, is about land, resources, and refugee rights, whereas the Russian-Ukraine war is about the 2014 Ukrainian revolution, Crimea's annexation, and geopolitical tensions between Russia and the West (Kuzio, 2017; Yekelchyk, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Both conflicts necessitate multifaceted approaches to address their complex dynamics, such as promoting dialogue, mediation, transitional justice, and post-conflict reconstruction, with the participation of civil society, international bodies, and local communities (Korostelina, 2015; Lederach, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

These conflicts have far-reaching consequences for individuals, relationships, and societies. Psychological trauma, displacement, and loss cause high levels of anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder, which have a negative impact on mental health and overall well-being (Mykhnenko, 2020; Chimakati, 2024). Children and young people are especially vulnerable to the long-term effects of conflict-related trauma (El-Khani, 2019, cited in Chimakati, 2024). Conflicts disrupt trust, communication, and cooperation, which harms social relationships and community cohesion (Kuzio, 2017, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Polarised media narratives and disinformation exacerbate divisions, complicating peacebuilding efforts and perpetuating cycles of violence and mistrust (Petro, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Addressing these effects necessitates comprehensive strategies aimed at restoring social cohesion, encouraging dialogue, and promoting reconciliation (Korostelina, 2015, cited by Chimakati, 2024).

6.0 Strategies for Constructive Conflict Resolution

Strategies for constructive conflict resolution prioritise the promotion of cooperative orientations, efficient communication, establishment of trust, and management of emotions. The implementation of these practices is crucial for promoting enduring peace by facilitating mutual comprehension and cooperation among opposing factions. Fostering cooperative orientations entails cultivating a feeling of interdependence and collective advantage, which motivates parties to collaborate towards common objectives (Deutsch, 1985, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In order to overcome psychological obstacles such as fear and mistrust that frequently impede collaboration, it is necessary to employ dialogue, mediation, and trust-

building exercises facilitated by third parties (Korostelina, 2015, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Moreover, optimal communication plays a vital role in the resolution of conflicts by promoting comprehension and facilitating the overcoming of obstacles such as linguistic disparities, cultural misinterpretations, and dissemination of false information (Petro, 2015, as referenced by Chimakati, 2024). Facilitating open communication and advancing media literacy are crucial in combating propaganda and cultivating a well-informed public during conflicts such as the Gaza conflict and the Russian-Ukraine war (Bolin & Ståhlberg, 2023, as cited by Chimakati, 2024).

Establishing trust among opposing factions is an additional essential approach, since trust forms the basis for collaboration and reconciliation. For long-standing conflicts like those in Gaza and Ukraine, it is crucial to address past grievances and cultural disparities in order to rebuild trust (Kelman, 2005, as referenced by Chimakati, 2024). The implementation of confidence-building measures, collaborative efforts, and interpersonal interactions can facilitate the establishment of personal connections and showcase a dedication to nonviolent involvement (Lederach, 2015, as referenced by Chimakati, 2024). Finally, it is crucial to address emotions, as they frequently exacerbate conflict. The recognition and affirmation of emotions such as fear, anger, and grief can enhance the process of healing and foster emotional resilience (Halperin & Bar-Tal, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). Psychological interventions such as trauma healing, reconciliation processes, and restorative justice assist individuals and communities in effectively coping with the emotional consequences of conflict. These approaches foster empathy and mitigate adverse emotional reactions (Gaucher & Jost, 2011, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). When these techniques are combined, they contribute to a comprehensive approach to conflict resolution, with the goal of promoting trust, understanding, and emotional wellness.

6.1 Policy Recommendations

Based on an examination of the Gaza conflict and the Russian-Ukraine war, several policy recommendations can be made to promote peacebuilding and reconciliation in these and other conflict situations (Coleman et al., 2014, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). First, there is a need for increased investment in peacebuilding and conflict resolution efforts at both the local and international levels (Lederach, 2015, cited by Chimakati, 2024). This includes providing financial and technical assistance to civil society organisations and community-based initiatives that promote dialogue, cooperation, and reconciliation (Kelman, 2007, cited by Chimakati, 2024). Furthermore, strengthening the capacity of international organisations and regional bodies to mediate and facilitate conflict resolution processes, as well as to support post-conflict reconstruction and development, is critical (Bercovitch & Jackson, 2019, as cited by Chimakati, 2024). In addition, peacebuilding efforts must address the social, psychological, and emotional dimensions of conflict (Mykhnenko, 2020; Chimakati, 2024). Investing in trauma healing and psychosocial support programs for individuals and communities affected by violence and displacement, as well as promoting education and awareness initiatives to challenge stereotypes and foster empathy, is critical (Korostelina, 2015; Chimakati, 2024). It is also critical to emphasise inclusive and participatory approaches to peacebuilding that involve a diverse range of stakeholders, including marginalised and vulnerable populations such as women, youth, and minorities (Lederach, 2015; Paffenholz, 2014, cited by Chimakati, 2024). These efforts ensure that diverse perspectives are heard in decision-making processes, resulting in a more comprehensive and sustainable peace.

7.0 Conclusion

The Gaza conflict and the Russian-Ukraine war are two complex and protracted conflicts that have had a profound impact on the individuals, communities, and societies involved. Through

a comparative analysis of these conflicts, this paper has sought to shed light on the social psychological dynamics that underlie these and other conflict situations, and to identify strategies for promoting constructive conflict resolution and peacebuilding. The analysis has highlighted the importance of understanding the various factors that contribute to the escalation and perpetuation of conflicts, including issues of identity, security, and self-determination, as well as the social, psychological, and emotional dimensions of conflict. It has also emphasized the need for a comprehensive and holistic approach to conflict resolution, which addresses the underlying root causes of conflicts and promotes inclusive and participatory peacebuilding processes. The paper has also identified several key strategies for constructive conflict resolution, including promoting cooperative orientations, effective communication, building trust, and addressing emotions. These strategies require a long-term commitment to peacebuilding and reconciliation, as well as a willingness to engage in difficult conversations and compromises, and to address the complex and interdependent factors that contribute to the persistence of conflicts.

References

Ainsworth, S. H. (2020). Rational Choice Theory in Political Decision Making. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics.* https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190228637.013.1019

Barron, E. N. (2024). *Game theory: an introduction*. John Wiley & Sons.

Bazerman, M. H. (2005). *Negotiation, decision making and conflict management*, Vol 1–3. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Bolin, G., & Stahlberg, P. (2023). *Managing Meaning in Ukraine: Information, Communication, and Narration Since the Euromaidan Revolution*. MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/14147.001.0001

Chimakati, F. M. (2024). Evaluation of Cross-Cultural Communication, Diversity and Building Harmony Leadership at Teachers Service Commission of Kenya. *African Journal of Emerging Issues*, *6*(4), 103-116.

Chimakati, F. M., & Macharia, I. (2024). Fostering Innovation and Change Through Learning Culture Leadership: A Case of Kenya Commercial Bank (Kcb) Of Kenya. *African Journal of Emerging Issues*, *6*(6), 26-38.

Coleman, P. T., Deutsch, M., & Marcus, E. C. (Eds.). (2014). *The handbook of conflict resolution: Theory and practice*. John Wiley & Sons.

Cristofaro, M. (2020). "I feel and think, therefore I am": An Affect-Cognitive Theory of management decisions. *European Management Journal,* 38(2), 344-355. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2019.09.003

de Oliveira Dias, M. (2019). People, Process, and Substance: Current Definitions on Negotiation. People, 1(4).

Dembińska, E., & Rutkowski, K. (2020). The reception of Dr Ludwik Jekels'"apostolic activity" to promote psychoanalysis in Poland before the outbreak of World War I. Part 2. *Psychiatr. Pol*, *54*(6), 1231-1254. https://doi.org/10.12740/PP/81669

Deutsch, M. (1973). The resolution of conflict: Constructive and destructive processes. *American Behavioral Scientist*, *17*(2), 248-248. https://doi.org/10.1177/001872674900200301

Gaucher, D., & Jost, J. T. (2011). Difficulties awakening the sense of injustice and overcoming oppression: On the soporific effects of system justification. *Conflict, interdependence, and justice: The intellectual legacy of Morton Deutsch*, 227-246. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9994-8_10

Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2005). New developments in social interdependence theory. *Genetic, social, and general psychology monographs*, *131*(4), 285-358. https://doi.org/10.3200/MONO.131.4.285-358

Kelman, H. C. (2007). The Israeli-Palestinian peace process and its vicissitudes: Insights from attitude theory. *American Psychologist*, *62*(4), 287. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.62.4.287

Korobkin, R. (2024). *Negotiation theory and strategy.* Aspen Publishing.

Korostelina, K. (2015). Reproduction of conflict in history teaching in Ukraine: a social identity theory analysis. *Identity*, *15*(3), 221-240. https://doi.org/10.1080/15283488.2015.1057283

Krasynska, S., Marples, D. R., & Mills, F. V. (2015). Digital civil society: Euromaidan, the Ukrainian diaspora, and social media.

Kriesberg, L. (2007). *Constructive conflicts: From escalation to resolution*. Rowman & Littlefield.

Kuzio, T. (2017). Ukraine between a constrained EU and assertive Russia. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, *55*(1), 103-120. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcms.12447

Lederach, J. (2015). *Little book of conflict transformation: Clear articulation of the guiding principles by a pioneer in the field*. Simon and Schuster.

Matveeva, A. (2018). No Moscow stooges: identity polarization and guerrilla movements in Donbass. In *The Ukrainian Crisis* (pp. 25-50). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315186719-3

Meltzer, B., Petras, J., & Reynolds, L. (2020). *Symbolic interactionism* (RLE Social Theory): Genesis, varieties and criticism. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003074311

Mykhnenko, V. (2020). Causes and consequences of the war in Eastern Ukraine: an economic geography perspective. *Europe-Asia Studies*, *72*(3), 528-560. https://doi.org/10.1080/09668136.2019.1684447

Paffenholz, T. (2014). Civil society and peace negotiations: Beyond the inclusion–exclusion dichotomy. *Negotiation Journal*, *30*(1), 69-91. https://doi.org/10.1111/nejo.12046

Petro, N. N. (2015). Understanding the other Ukraine: identity and allegiance in russophone Ukraine. *Ukraine and Russia: People, Politics, Propaganda and Perspectives, Bristol, United Kingdom: E-International Relations Edited Collections*, 19-35.

Pizer, S. (2021). *Building bridges: The negotiation of paradox in psychoanalysis.* Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003221098

Tabassum, I. (2020). The importance of negotiation and conflict management. *Journal of Management and Science,* 10(2), 15-19. https://doi.org/10.26524/jms.2020.2.4

Trenin, D. (2014). *The Ukraine crisis and the resumption of great-power rivalry* (pp. 1-38). Moscow: Carnegie Moscow Center. https://doi.org/10.1080/1060586X.2015.1005903

Tsygankov, A. (2015). Vladimir Putin's last stand: the sources of Russia's Ukraine policy. *Post-Soviet Affairs*, *31*(4), 279-303. https://doi.org/10.1080/00905992.2014.954103

Yekelchyk, S. (2015). The early 1960s as a cultural space: a microhistory of Ukraine's generation of cultural rebels. *Nationalities papers*, *43*(1), 45-62. https://doi.org/10.1080/00905992.2014.954103