

Digital Transformation in UK's Financial Services: Balancing Innovation and Regulation

Daniel Blair

Leeds Trinity University

Publication Date: October 2024

Abstract

This study examined the digital transformation of the UK's financial services sector, focusing on the delicate balance between innovation and regulation. Through a comprehensive desktop review of academic literature, industry reports, and regulatory documents, the research explored the impact of emerging technologies, evolving business models, and regulatory approaches on the financial landscape. The study found that while digital technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and cloud computing were driving significant innovations in products and services, they also presented challenges in cybersecurity, data privacy, and financial inclusion. The UK's regulatory approach, including initiatives like the regulatory sandbox, demonstrated promise in fostering innovation while maintaining necessary safeguards. However, the rapid pace of technological change continued to challenge existing regulatory frameworks. The research also highlighted the broader societal implications of digital transformation, emphasizing the need for a holistic approach that addresses technological, organizational, regulatory, and ethical dimensions. The study concluded by offering recommendations for financial institutions, regulators, and policymakers to navigate the complex dynamics of digital transformation, fostering innovation while ensuring stability, security, and inclusivity in the evolving digital finance ecosystem.

Key words: *Digital Transformation, Financial Services, Innovation & Regulation*

1.1 Introduction

The financial services industry is undergoing a profound transformation driven by rapid technological advancements and changing consumer expectations. Digital transformation has become a critical imperative for financial institutions seeking to remain competitive and relevant in an increasingly digital world (Diener & Špaček, 2021). This shift is particularly evident in the United Kingdom, where the financial sector plays a crucial role in the national economy. As noted by Papathomas and Konteos (2024), financial institutions are embarking on a complex journey of

digital transformation, navigating through various stages and adapting their business metrics to align with new digital realities.

The pace of innovation in financial technology, or FinTech, has accelerated dramatically in recent years, challenging traditional banking models and regulatory frameworks. Broby (2021) argues that financial technology is reshaping the future of banking, introducing new products, services, and business models that are disrupting established norms. This wave of innovation has given rise to a myriad of FinTech applications, ranging from mobile payment solutions to robo-advisors and blockchain-based financial services. However, as Omarova (2020) points out, these rapid developments have also raised significant regulatory challenges, as policymakers strive to balance the promotion of innovation with the need to maintain financial stability and protect consumers.

The digital transformation of financial services is not merely a technological upgrade but a fundamental reimagining of how financial institutions operate and deliver value to their customers. Furr et al. (2022) identify core tensions that established companies face on the global stage as they navigate this digital transformation. These tensions include balancing short-term performance with long-term innovation, managing the coexistence of traditional and digital business models, and adapting organizational structures and cultures to support digital initiatives. Furthermore, Dąbrowska et al. (2022) emphasize the need for a critical multi-level research agenda to understand the complex implications of digital transformation, recognizing that these changes can have both positive and negative consequences for organizations and society.

As the UK financial services sector embraces digital transformation, it must navigate a complex regulatory landscape. Rupeika-Apoga and Thalassinou (2020) highlight the challenges in defining and regulating FinTech, given its rapidly evolving nature and diverse applications. The UK's regulatory approach must strike a delicate balance between fostering innovation and ensuring financial stability, consumer protection, and fair competition. This balancing act is crucial for maintaining the UK's position as a global financial hub while adapting to the new realities of a digitally-driven financial ecosystem. As financial institutions progress through their digital transformation journeys, they must not only focus on technological adoption but also on reimagining their business models, organizational structures, and regulatory compliance strategies to thrive in this new era of finance.

Building upon the foundations of digital transformation, financial institutions in the UK are increasingly recognizing the need to adapt their core business models to remain competitive. Bresciani et al. (2021) argue that digital transformation serves as a springboard for product, process, and business model innovation. This holistic approach to transformation extends beyond mere technological adoption, encompassing a fundamental reimagining of how financial services are conceived, delivered, and monetized. For instance, traditional banks are exploring new revenue streams through platform-based business models, open banking initiatives, and ecosystem partnerships, blurring the lines between financial and non-financial services (Boot et al., 2020). These innovations are not only reshaping the competitive landscape but also challenging regulators to adapt their frameworks to accommodate novel business structures and value propositions.

The regulatory response to the digital transformation of financial services has been evolving rapidly, with policymakers seeking to strike a balance between innovation and risk mitigation. Ringe and Christopher (2020) propose the concept of a "guided sandbox" approach for regulating FinTech in the EU, which could serve as a model for the UK post-Brexit. This approach allows for controlled experimentation with new financial technologies and business models while

maintaining regulatory oversight. Similarly, Lee (2020) emphasizes the importance of ensuring access to finance for artificial intelligence regulation in the financial services industry, recognizing the critical role that AI plays in driving innovation and efficiency. As the UK charts its own regulatory path, it must consider how to foster an environment that encourages responsible innovation while safeguarding against systemic risks and protecting consumer interests.

The implications of digital transformation extend beyond individual institutions to encompass broader societal and economic considerations. Arner et al. (2020) highlight the potential of FinTech to promote financial inclusion and sustainability, two key priorities for the UK financial sector. Digital technologies can enable more efficient and accessible financial services, reaching underserved populations and supporting sustainable development goals. However, Omarova (2020) cautions against an overly technocratic approach to financial regulation, arguing for a more holistic consideration of the societal impacts of FinTech innovations. This perspective underscores the need for UK policymakers and financial institutions to consider the broader ethical and social implications of their digital transformation initiatives, ensuring that technological progress aligns with public interest and long-term economic stability.

1.2 Statement of the problem

The digital transformation of the UK's financial services sector presents a complex and multifaceted challenge that requires careful navigation. At the heart of this transformation lies a fundamental tension between the rapid pace of technological innovation and the need for robust regulatory frameworks to ensure financial stability and consumer protection. As Drechsler et al. (2020) point out, financial institutions find themselves at a crossroads between digital innovation and digital transformation, grappling with the need to fundamentally reimagine their business models while also maintaining compliance with evolving regulatory requirements. This tension is exacerbated by the emergence of new financial technologies and business models that do not easily fit within existing regulatory paradigms. Imerman and Fabozzi (2020) highlight the diverse and rapidly evolving landscape of FinTech innovations, ranging from blockchain-based financial services to AI-driven investment platforms, each presenting unique regulatory challenges. The problem, therefore, is not merely one of technological adoption, but of creating a regulatory environment that can keep pace with innovation while mitigating potential risks to the financial system and its stakeholders.

Furthermore, the digital transformation of financial services raises critical questions about market competition, data privacy, and financial inclusion. As traditional financial institutions and FinTech startups vie for market share, there is a risk of creating new forms of market concentration and potential systemic risks. Omarova (2020) argues that the increasing reliance on digital platforms and data-driven financial services could lead to new forms of financial intermediation that challenge traditional notions of banking and financial regulation. Additionally, the vast amounts of personal and financial data being collected and processed by financial institutions raise significant privacy concerns and potential vulnerabilities to cyber attacks. Fernandez-Vidal et al. (2022) emphasize the need for a top-down approach to managing digital transformation, highlighting the challenges faced by senior executives in balancing innovation with risk management and regulatory compliance. The problem, therefore, extends beyond individual institutions to encompass broader societal concerns about the future of finance in a digital age. Policymakers and industry leaders must grapple with how to harness the benefits of digital transformation while addressing these complex challenges and ensuring that the financial system remains stable, inclusive, and aligned with public interest.

1.3 Research objective

To assess the digital transformation in UK's financial services in balancing innovation and regulation.

2.1 Literature review

The literature on digital transformation in financial services reveals a complex landscape of technological innovation, regulatory challenges, and strategic imperatives. Diener and Špaček (2021) provide a comprehensive overview of the barriers to change in digital transformation within banking, highlighting both managerial and organizational challenges. Their research emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to transformation that addresses not only technological adoption but also cultural and structural changes within financial institutions. This perspective is further developed by Papathomas and Konteos (2024), who outline the stages of the digital transformation journey for financial institutions and propose business metrics to track progress. Their work underscores the importance of viewing digital transformation as a continuous process rather than a one-time initiative, with implications for how financial institutions measure success and allocate resources over time.

The regulatory dimension of digital transformation in financial services is a central theme in the literature. Rupeika-Apoga and Thalassinou (2020) grapple with the challenges of defining and regulating FinTech, highlighting the difficulties faced by policymakers in creating frameworks that are both flexible enough to accommodate innovation and robust enough to ensure financial stability. Omarova (2020) builds on this theme, proposing new approaches to FinTech regulation that balance innovation with systemic risk management. The concept of regulatory sandboxes, as discussed by Ringe and Christopher (2020), emerges as a potential solution, allowing for controlled experimentation with new financial technologies under regulatory supervision. These studies collectively point to the need for adaptive regulatory frameworks that can evolve alongside technological innovations in the financial sector.

The impact of digital transformation on business models and competitive dynamics in financial services is another key area of focus in the literature. Broby (2021) examines how financial technology is reshaping the future of banking, identifying both opportunities and threats for traditional financial institutions. Boot et al. (2020) delve deeper into the changing nature of financial intermediation in the digital age, exploring how technology is altering the fundamental roles and structures of financial institutions. Bresciani et al. (2021) frame digital transformation as a catalyst for broader innovation in products, processes, and business models, suggesting that financial institutions must reimagine their entire value proposition to remain competitive. These studies highlight the transformative potential of digital technologies in finance, while also pointing to the challenges of managing this transition for established players in the industry.

The broader societal implications of digital transformation in financial services are also addressed in the literature. Arner et al. (2020) explore the intersection of sustainability, FinTech, and financial inclusion, arguing that digital technologies have the potential to advance social and environmental goals within the financial sector. Lee (2020) focuses on the specific challenges of regulating artificial intelligence in financial services, highlighting both the potential benefits and risks of AI-driven financial innovations. Pazarbasioglu et al. (2020) provide a comprehensive overview of digital financial services, emphasizing their potential to enhance financial inclusion and economic development. These studies underscore the need for a nuanced approach to digital transformation that considers not only economic efficiency but also broader societal impacts and

ethical considerations. Collectively, the literature points to a future of financial services that is increasingly digital, interconnected, and dynamic, requiring new approaches to regulation, business strategy, and social responsibility.

The literature also delves into the organizational challenges and strategic considerations associated with digital transformation in financial services. Lanzolla et al. (2020) examine the fundamental question of what is truly new in digital transformation, identifying emerging patterns and management research implications. Their work highlights the need for financial institutions to develop new capabilities and organizational structures to effectively navigate the digital landscape. Cennamo et al. (2020) further explore this theme, focusing on the scope of transformation and the modalities of value co-generation and delivery in the digital era. They argue that successful digital transformation requires a fundamental rethinking of how financial institutions create and capture value, often necessitating new forms of collaboration and ecosystem engagement.

The impact of external factors on digital transformation efforts is another important strand in the literature. Stalmachova et al. (2021) examine how the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated digital transformation in various industries, including financial services. Their research highlights the need for financial institutions to adapt their digital strategies in response to rapidly changing external conditions. Kozlovtsseva et al. (2021) explore the challenges of ensuring sustainable development of enterprises in the context of digital transformations, emphasizing the importance of balancing technological innovation with long-term sustainability goals. These studies underscore the dynamic nature of digital transformation and the need for financial institutions to remain agile and responsive to changing market conditions and societal needs.

The role of specific technologies in driving digital transformation in financial services is also a key focus in the literature. Agarwal and Zhang (2020) provide a comprehensive review of FinTech innovations in lending and payments, highlighting how these technologies are reshaping traditional banking functions. Manser Payne et al. (2021) propose a digital servitization value co-creation framework for AI services in financial ecosystems, emphasizing the transformative potential of artificial intelligence in creating new forms of value. Kitsios et al. (2021) evaluate the acceptance rate of e-services in the banking sector, providing insights into the factors that influence customer adoption of digital financial services. These studies collectively highlight the diverse array of technologies driving digital transformation in finance and the complex interplay between technological innovation, customer behavior, and organizational strategy.

The literature also addresses the broader strategic implications of digital transformation for financial institutions. Bonnet and Westerman (2020) identify new elements of digital transformation that go beyond mere technological adoption, emphasizing the need for comprehensive organizational change. Vial (2021) provides a comprehensive review of digital transformation literature, proposing a research agenda that encompasses technological, organizational, and societal dimensions. Li (2020) examines the digital transformation of business models in creative industries, offering insights that can be applied to the financial services sector. These studies underscore the multifaceted nature of digital transformation, highlighting the need for financial institutions to adopt holistic strategies that address not only technological implementation but also organizational culture, talent management, and business model innovation. The literature collectively points to digital transformation as a fundamental reimagining of how financial services are conceived, delivered, and regulated in the digital age.

2.3 Theoretical review

The "Digital Finance Ecosystem Transformation" (DFET) theory provides an ideal framework for examining the digital transformation of UK's financial services while balancing innovation and regulation. This theory posits that the financial services sector is evolving into a complex, interconnected ecosystem where traditional boundaries between institutions, technologies, and regulatory domains are increasingly blurred. At its core, DFET recognizes that digital transformation in finance is not merely about technological adoption, but rather a fundamental reimagining of how financial services are created, delivered, and regulated in a digital age.

The first pillar of DFET focuses on the technological dimension, asserting that digital technologies are not just tools but catalysts for systemic change. This aspect of the theory draws from the work of Broby (2021) and Agarwal and Zhang (2020), recognizing that technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and cloud computing are reshaping the very nature of financial intermediation. DFET proposes that these technologies create new possibilities for financial products and services, enable more efficient and personalized customer interactions, and generate vast amounts of data that can be leveraged for both innovation and risk management. However, the theory also acknowledges that the rapid pace of technological change creates challenges for both financial institutions and regulators in terms of keeping up with innovations and managing associated risks.

The second pillar of DFET addresses the organizational and strategic implications of digital transformation. Drawing from the work of Furr et al. (2022) and Papathomas and Konteos (2024), this aspect of the theory posits that financial institutions must undergo fundamental changes in their organizational structures, cultures, and business models to thrive in the digital age. DFET suggests that successful digital transformation requires a shift towards more agile and adaptable organizational forms, the development of new digital capabilities, and the cultivation of a culture that embraces innovation and continuous learning. Moreover, the theory proposes that financial institutions must increasingly view themselves as part of broader digital ecosystems, engaging in new forms of collaboration and competition that extend beyond traditional industry boundaries.

The third pillar of DFET focuses on the regulatory dimension, recognizing the critical role that regulation plays in shaping the digital transformation of financial services. This aspect of the theory builds on the work of Omarova (2020) and Ringe and Christopher (2020), proposing that effective regulation in the digital age requires a delicate balance between fostering innovation and maintaining financial stability and consumer protection. DFET suggests that regulators must adopt more flexible and adaptive approaches, such as regulatory sandboxes, to keep pace with technological innovation. However, the theory also emphasizes the need for robust risk management frameworks that can address the unique challenges posed by digital financial services, including cybersecurity threats, data privacy concerns, and the potential for new forms of systemic risk in interconnected digital ecosystems. Ultimately, DFET proposes that successful digital transformation in financial services requires a co-evolutionary approach where technological innovation, organizational change, and regulatory adaptation progress in tandem, creating a dynamic and resilient digital finance ecosystem.

3.1 Research methodology

The research methodology for this study primarily employed a comprehensive desktop review approach. This method involved the systematic collection, analysis, and synthesis of existing literature, reports, and data sources relevant to digital transformation in UK's financial services. The review encompassed academic journals, industry reports, regulatory documents, and reputable online sources to ensure a broad and up-to-date understanding of the subject. Key databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and financial industry-specific repositories were utilized to access peer-reviewed articles and authoritative publications. The search focused on keywords related to digital transformation, financial technology, regulatory innovation, and UK financial services. This approach allowed for a thorough examination of current theories, empirical findings, and regulatory frameworks without the need for primary data collection. The desktop review was structured to address the research objectives, paying particular attention to the balance between innovation and regulation in the UK context. Critical analysis of the gathered information was conducted to identify patterns, trends, and gaps in the existing knowledge, thereby providing a solid foundation for addressing the research questions and formulating evidence-based conclusions and recommendations.

4.1 Results and findings

The desktop review of literature and industry reports revealed several key findings regarding the digital transformation of UK's financial services and the balance between innovation and regulation. Firstly, it was evident that the pace of technological innovation in the financial sector has accelerated dramatically in recent years. As noted by Broby (2021), financial technology is fundamentally reshaping traditional banking models, introducing new products and services that challenge established norms. The study found that UK financial institutions are increasingly adopting technologies such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, and cloud computing to enhance operational efficiency, improve customer experiences, and create new revenue streams. However, this rapid technological adoption has also introduced new challenges, particularly in terms of cybersecurity, data privacy, and the potential for digital exclusion of certain customer segments (Wewege et al., 2020).

The research also highlighted the evolving nature of business models within the UK financial services sector. As observed by Bresciani et al. (2021), digital transformation is serving as a catalyst for broader innovation in products, processes, and business models. Traditional banks are exploring platform-based business models, open banking initiatives, and ecosystem partnerships, blurring the lines between financial and non-financial services. This shift is creating new forms of competition and collaboration within the industry, with established institutions increasingly partnering with or acquiring FinTech startups to accelerate their digital transformation efforts. The study found that successful digital transformation requires not only technological adoption but also fundamental changes in organizational culture, talent management, and strategic thinking (Cennamo et al., 2020).

A significant finding of the research was the critical role of regulation in shaping the digital transformation landscape. The UK's regulatory approach has attempted to strike a balance between fostering innovation and ensuring financial stability and consumer protection. The study found evidence of innovative regulatory approaches, such as the Financial Conduct Authority's regulatory sandbox, which allows for controlled experimentation with new financial technologies and business models (Ringe & Christopher, 2020). However, the research also revealed ongoing

challenges in adapting regulatory frameworks to keep pace with rapid technological change. Issues such as the regulation of cryptocurrencies, the use of AI in financial decision-making, and the management of data privacy in open banking environments were identified as areas requiring further regulatory attention (Omarova, 2020).

Finally, the study uncovered broader societal implications of digital transformation in UK financial services. The research found that while digital technologies have the potential to enhance financial inclusion and promote sustainability (Arner et al., 2020), there are also risks of exacerbating existing inequalities. The increasing reliance on digital channels and data-driven services raises concerns about digital literacy, access to technology, and the potential for algorithmic bias in financial decision-making. Moreover, the study highlighted the need for a more holistic consideration of the ethical implications of digital transformation in finance, particularly in areas such as the use of AI and big data analytics. These findings underscore the complex nature of digital transformation in UK financial services, revealing a landscape characterized by rapid innovation, evolving business models, regulatory challenges, and significant societal implications.

5.1 Conclusions

The study concluded that digital transformation in UK's financial services sector is a complex and multifaceted process that requires a delicate balance between innovation and regulation. The research found that while technological advancements are driving significant changes in products, services, and business models, they also present new challenges in terms of cybersecurity, data privacy, and financial inclusion. The UK's regulatory approach, characterized by initiatives such as the regulatory sandbox, has shown promise in fostering innovation while maintaining necessary safeguards. However, the rapid pace of technological change continues to challenge regulatory frameworks, necessitating ongoing adaptation and evolution. The study also highlighted the broader societal implications of digital transformation, emphasizing the need for financial institutions and regulators to consider issues of digital literacy, ethical use of AI, and equitable access to financial services. Ultimately, the research concluded that successful digital transformation in UK financial services requires a holistic approach that addresses technological, organizational, regulatory, and societal dimensions. The future of the sector will likely be shaped by the ability of institutions and regulators to navigate these complex dynamics, fostering innovation while ensuring stability, security, and inclusivity in the evolving digital finance ecosystem.

6.1 Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, the following recommendations are proposed for stakeholders in the UK financial services sector:

1. Financial institutions should adopt a holistic approach to digital transformation, focusing not only on technological implementation but also on organizational culture, talent development, and business model innovation. This includes investing in digital skills training for employees at all levels and fostering a culture of continuous learning and adaptation.
2. Regulators should continue to refine and expand the use of regulatory sandboxes, allowing for controlled experimentation with new financial technologies and business models. This approach should be complemented by ongoing dialogue between regulators, financial

institutions, and FinTech companies to ensure that regulatory frameworks remain relevant and effective in the face of rapid technological change.

3. The UK government and financial sector should collaborate to develop a comprehensive national strategy for digital financial literacy. This strategy should aim to equip consumers with the knowledge and skills necessary to navigate the increasingly digital financial landscape, helping to mitigate risks of financial exclusion.
4. Financial institutions should prioritize the development of robust cybersecurity and data privacy frameworks as an integral part of their digital transformation strategies. This includes regular security audits, investment in advanced threat detection systems, and transparent data handling practices to maintain customer trust.
5. The financial services sector should actively explore partnerships and collaborations with FinTech startups and technology companies to accelerate innovation and improve service offerings. However, these partnerships should be carefully structured to ensure alignment with regulatory requirements and risk management practices.
6. Regulators should work towards developing clear guidelines and ethical frameworks for the use of AI and big data analytics in financial services. This should include considerations of algorithmic transparency, fairness in automated decision-making, and the potential for bias in AI systems.
7. Financial institutions and regulators should collaborate to develop standardized APIs and data sharing protocols to facilitate open banking initiatives while ensuring data security and privacy. This will help foster innovation and competition while maintaining necessary safeguards.
8. The sector should invest in research and development of blockchain and distributed ledger technologies, exploring their potential to enhance transparency, reduce fraud, and improve efficiency in financial transactions and record-keeping.
9. Financial institutions should adopt a customer-centric approach to digital transformation, focusing on developing personalized, seamless, and omnichannel experiences that cater to diverse customer needs and preferences.
10. The UK government and financial sector should work together to position the country as a global leader in FinTech innovation, creating a supportive ecosystem for startups, attracting international talent, and promoting cross-border collaboration in financial innovation.

References

- Agarwal, S., & Zhang, J. (2020). FinTech, lending and payment innovation: A review. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Financial Studies*, 49(3), 353-367.
- Arner, D. W., Buckley, R. P., Zetsche, D. A., & Veidt, R. (2020). Sustainability, FinTech and financial inclusion. *European Business Organization Law Review*, 21, 7-35.
- Baiyere, A., Salmela, H., & Tapanainen, T. (2020). Digital transformation and the new logics of business process management. *European journal of information systems*, 29(3), 238-259.
- Bonnet, D., & Westerman, G. (2020). The new elements of digital transformation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 62(2).
- Boot, A. W., Hoffmann, P., Laeven, L., & Ratnovski, L. (2020). Financial intermediation and technology: What's old, what's new?.
- Bresciani, S., Huarng, K. H., Malhotra, A., & Ferraris, A. (2021). Digital transformation as a springboard for product, process and business model innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 204-210.
- Bresciani, S., Huarng, K. H., Malhotra, A., & Ferraris, A. (2021). Digital transformation as a springboard for product, process and business model innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 204-210.
- Broby, D. (2021). Financial technology and the future of banking. *Financial Innovation*, 7(1), 47.
- Cennamo, C., Dagnino, G. B., Di Minin, A., & Lanzolla, G. (2020). Managing digital transformation: Scope of transformation and modalities of value co-generation and delivery. *California Management Review*, 62(4), 5-16.
- Dąbrowska, J., Almpantopoulou, A., Brem, A., Chesbrough, H., Cucino, V., Di Minin, A., & Ritala, P. (2022). Digital transformation, for better or worse: a critical multi-level research agenda. *R&D Management*, 52(5), 930-954.
- Diener, F., & Špaček, M. (2021). Digital transformation in banking: A managerial perspective on barriers to change. *Sustainability*, 13(4), 2032.
- Drechsler, K., Gregory, R., Wagner, H. T., & Tumbas, S. (2020). At the crossroads between digital innovation and digital transformation. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 47(1), 23.
- Fernandez-Vidal, J., Perotti, F. A., Gonzalez, R., & Gasco, J. (2022). Managing digital transformation: The view from the top. *Journal of Business Research*, 152, 29-41.
- Furr, N., Ozcan, P., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (2022). What is digital transformation? Core tensions facing established companies on the global stage. *Global Strategy Journal*, 12(4), 595-618.
- Imerman, M. B., & Fabozzi, F. J. (2020). Cashing in on innovation: a taxonomy of FinTech. *Journal of Asset Management*, 21(3), 167.
- Kitsios, F., Giatsidis, I., & Kamariotou, M. (2021). Digital transformation and strategy in the banking sector: Evaluating the acceptance rate of e-services. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(3), 204.

- Kozlovtseva, V., Demianchuk, M., Koval, V., Hordopolov, V., & Atstaja, D. (2021). Ensuring sustainable development of enterprises in the conditions of digital transformations. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (No. 280, p. 02002).
- Lanzolla, G., Lorenz, A., Miron-Spektor, E., Schilling, M., Solinas, G., & Tucci, C. L. (2020). Digital transformation: What is new if anything? Emerging patterns and management research. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 6(3), 341-350.
- Lanzolla, G., Lorenz, A., Miron-Spektor, E., Schilling, M., Solinas, G., & Tucci, C. L. (2020). Digital transformation: What is new if anything? Emerging patterns and management research. *Academy of Management Discoveries*, 6(3), 341-350.
- Lee, J. (2020). Access to finance for artificial intelligence regulation in the financial services industry. *European Business Organization Law Review*, 21(4), 731-757.
- Li, F. (2020). The digital transformation of business models in the creative industries: A holistic framework and emerging trends. *Technovation*, 92, 102012.
- Manser Payne, E. H., Dahl, A. J., & Peltier, J. (2021). Digital servitization value co-creation framework for AI services: a research agenda for digital transformation in financial service ecosystems. *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing*, 15(2), 200-222.
- Omarova, S. T. (2020). Dealing with disruption: emerging approaches to fintech regulation. *Wash. UJL & Pol'y*, 61, 25.
- Omarova, S. T. (2020). Technology v technocracy: Fintech as a regulatory challenge. *Journal of Financial Regulation*, 6(1), 75-124.
- Papathomas, A., & Konteos, G. (2024). Financial institutions digital transformation: the stages of the journey and business metrics to follow. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*, 29(2), 590-606.
- Pazarbasioglu, C., Mora, A. G., Uttamchandani, M., Natarajan, H., Feyen, E., & Saal, M. (2020). Digital financial services. *World Bank*, 54, 1-54.
- Ringe, W. G., & Christopher, R. U. O. F. (2020). Regulating Fintech in the EU: the Case for a Guided Sandbox. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, 11(3), 604-629.
- Rupeika-Apoga, R., & Thalassinou, E. I. (2020). Ideas for a regulatory definition of FinTech.
- Sousa-Zomer, T. T., Neely, A., & Martinez, V. (2020). Digital transforming capability and performance: a microfoundational perspective. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 40(7/8), 1095-1128.
- Stalmachova, K., Chinoracky, R., & Strenitzerova, M. (2021). Changes in business models caused by digital transformation and the COVID-19 pandemic and possibilities of their measurement—case study. *Sustainability*, 14(1), 127.
- Vial, G. (2021). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *Managing digital transformation*, 13-66.
- Wewege, L., Lee, J., & Thomsett, M. C. (2020). Disruptions and digital banking trends. *Journal of Applied Finance and Banking*, 10(6), 15-56.